

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,804	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LI B. ZHEN	2194	

All Participants:

(1) Henry Gabrielski (Reg. No. 62,828).

(2) LI B. ZHEN.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

61,76,91,104,117,118

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the telephone interview, examiner indicated that the independent claims would be allowable if amended to include the "interface pointer identifier". In addition, claims 117 and 118 should be amended to positively recite hardware. Mr. Gabryjelski authorized the changes to the claims detailed in the examiner's amendment.